

General Certificate of Education

Mathematics 6360

MFP1 Further Pure 1

Mark Scheme

2009 examination – January series

Mark schemes are prepared by the Principal Examiner and considered, together with the relevant questions, by a panel of subject teachers. This mark scheme includes any amendments made at the standardisation meeting attended by all examiners and is the scheme which was used by them in this examination. The standardisation meeting ensures that the mark scheme covers the candidates' responses to questions and that every examiner understands and applies it in the same correct way. As preparation for the standardisation meeting each examiner analyses a number of candidates' scripts: alternative answers not already covered by the mark scheme are discussed at the meeting and legislated for. If, after this meeting, examiners encounter unusual answers which have not been discussed at the meeting they are required to refer these to the Principal Examiner.

It must be stressed that a mark scheme is a working document, in many cases further developed and expanded on the basis of candidates' reactions to a particular paper. Assumptions about future mark schemes on the basis of one year's document should be avoided; whilst the guiding principles of assessment remain constant, details will change, depending on the content of a particular examination paper.

Further copies of this Mark Scheme are available to download from the AQA Website: www.aqa.org.uk

Copyright © 2009 AQA and its licensors. All rights reserved.

COPYRIGHT

AQA retains the copyright on all its publications. However, registered centres for AQA are permitted to copy material from this booklet for their own internal use, with the following important exception: AQA cannot give permission to centres to photocopy any material that is acknowledged to a third party even for internal use within the centre.

Set and published by the Assessment and Qualifications Alliance.

The Assessment and Qualifications Alliance (AQA) is a company limited by guarantee registered in England and Wales (company number 3644723) and a registered charity (registered charity number 1073334).

Registered address: AQA, Devas Street, Manchester M15 6EX

Dr Michael Cresswell Director General

Key to mark scheme and abbreviations used in marking

| M | mark is for method | | | |
|-------------|--|-----|----------------------------|--|
| m or dM | mark is dependent on one or more M marks and is for method | | | |
| A | mark is dependent on M or m marks and is for accuracy | | | |
| В | mark is independent of M or m marks and is for method and accuracy | | | |
| E | mark is for explanation | | | |
| | | | | |
| √or ft or F | follow through from previous | | | |
| | incorrect result | MC | mis-copy | |
| CAO | correct answer only | MR | mis-read | |
| CSO | correct solution only | RA | required accuracy | |
| AWFW | anything which falls within | FW | further work | |
| AWRT | anything which rounds to | ISW | ignore subsequent work | |
| ACF | any correct form | FIW | from incorrect work | |
| AG | answer given | BOD | given benefit of doubt | |
| SC | special case | WR | work replaced by candidate | |
| OE | or equivalent | FB | formulae book | |
| A2,1 | 2 or 1 (or 0) accuracy marks | NOS | not on scheme | |
| −x EE | deduct x marks for each error | G | graph | |
| NMS | no method shown | c | candidate | |
| PI | possibly implied | sf | significant figure(s) | |
| SCA | substantially correct approach | dp | decimal place(s) | |

No Method Shown

Where the question specifically requires a particular method to be used, we must usually see evidence of use of this method for any marks to be awarded. However, there are situations in some units where part marks would be appropriate, particularly when similar techniques are involved. Your Principal Examiner will alert you to these and details will be provided on the mark scheme.

Where the answer can be reasonably obtained without showing working and it is very unlikely that the correct answer can be obtained by using an incorrect method, we must award **full marks**. However, the obvious penalty to candidates showing no working is that incorrect answers, however close, earn **no marks**.

Where a question asks the candidate to state or write down a result, no method need be shown for full marks.

Where the permitted calculator has functions which reasonably allow the solution of the question directly, the correct answer without working earns **full marks**, unless it is given to less than the degree of accuracy accepted in the mark scheme, when it gains **no marks**.

Otherwise we require evidence of a correct method for any marks to be awarded.

MFP1

| Q | Solution | Marks | Total | Comments |
|------|---|----------|----------|---|
| 1 | First increment is 0.2, so $y \approx 1.2$ | B1B1 | | PI; variations possible here |
| | Second increment is $0.2\sqrt{1+0.2^2}$ | M1 | | |
| | $\approx 0.203 \ 961$, so $y \approx 1.403 \ 96$ | A2,1F | 5 | A1 if accuracy lost; ft num error |
| | Tota | l | 5 | |
| 2(a) | Other root is 2 – 3i | B1 | 1 | |
| (h) | Sum of roots = 4 | B1F | | ft error in (a) |
| (b) | Sum of roots -4 So $b = -4$ | B1F | | ft wrong value for sum |
| | 300 | D11 | | it wrong value for sum |
| | Product is 13 | B1 | | |
| | So $c = 13$ | B1F | 4 | ft wrong value for product |
| | | | | |
| | Alternative: | N/1 | | |
| | Substituting 2 + 3i into equation Equating R and I parts | M1 m1 | | |
| | Equating K and 1 parts $12 + 3b = 0, \text{ so } b = -4$ | A1 | | |
| | -5 + 2b + c = 0, so $c = 13$ | A1F | (4) | ft wrong value for b |
| | Tota | 1 | 5 | |
| 3 | $\tan\frac{\pi}{3} = \sqrt{3}$ | B1 | | Decimals/degrees penalised at 5 th mark |
| | Introduction of $n\pi$ | M1 | | (or $2n\pi$) at any stage |
| | Going from $\frac{\pi}{2} - 3x$ to x | m1 | | Including dividing all terms by 3 |
| | $x = \frac{\pi}{18} + \frac{1}{3}n\pi$ | A2,1F | 5 | Allow +, - or ±; A1 with dec/deg; ft wrong first solution |
| | Tota | l | 5 | |
| 4(a) | $S_n = 3\Sigma r^2 - 3\Sigma r + \Sigma 1$ | M1 | | |
| | Correct expressions substituted | m1 | | At least for first two terms |
| | Correct expansions | A1 | | |
| | $\sum 1 = n$ | B1 | _ | 1.0 |
| | Answer convincingly obtained | A1 | 5 | AG |
| (b) | $S_{2n} - S_n$ attempted | M1 | | Condone $S_{2n} - S_{n+1}$ here |
| | Answer $7n^3$ | A1 | 2 | $S_{2n} = S_{n+1}$ Here |
| | Tota | _ | 7 | |
| | 1014 | - | <u>'</u> | |

MFP1 (cont)

| MFP1 (cont) | | 3.6 | 700 - 1 | |
|-------------|---|------------|---------|---|
| Q | Solution | Marks | Total | Comments |
| 5(a)(i) | $\mathbf{A} + \mathbf{B} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 2k \\ 2k & 0 \end{bmatrix}$ | В1 | 1 | |
| | $\mathbf{A}^2 = \begin{bmatrix} 2k^2 & 0\\ 0 & 2k^2 \end{bmatrix}$ | B2,1 | 2 | B1 if three entries correct |
| (b) | $(\mathbf{A} + \mathbf{B})^2 = \begin{bmatrix} 4k^2 & 0\\ 0 & 4k^2 \end{bmatrix}$ $\mathbf{B}^2 = \mathbf{A}^2, \text{ hence result}$ | B2,1 | 4 | B1 if three entries correct |
| | $\mathbf{B}^{2} = \mathbf{A}^{2}$, hence result | B1B1 | 4 | |
| (c)(i) | A^2 is an enlargement (centre O) with SF 2 | M1 A1 | 2 | Condone $2k^2$ |
| (ii) | Scale factor is now $\sqrt{2}$ Mirror line is $y = x \tan 22 \frac{1}{2}^{\circ}$ | B1 M1A1 | 3 | Condone $\sqrt{2}k$ |
| | Total | | 12 | |
| 6(a)(i) | Asymptotes $x = 0$, $x = 2$, $y = 1$ | B1×3 | 3 | |
| (ii) | Intersections at (1, 0) and (3, 0) | B1 | 1 | |
| (iii) | At least one branch approaching asymptotes | B1 | | |
| | Each branch | B1×3 | 4 | |
| (b) | 0 < x < 1, 2 < x < 3 | B1,B1 | 2 | Allow B1 if one repeated error occurs, eg ≤ for < |
| | Alternative: | | | |
| | Complete correct algebraic method | M1A1 | (2) | |
| | Total | | 10 | |
| 7(a) | Use of similar triangles or algebra | M1 | | Some progress needed |
| | Correct relationship established | m1A1 | | $ eg \frac{r-a}{c} = \frac{b-a}{c-d} $ |
| | Hence result convincingly shown | A1 | 4 | AG |
| (b)(i) | $c = f(a) = 24, \ d = f(b) = -21$ | B1,B1 | | |
| | $r = \frac{38}{15} \ (\approx 2.5333)$ | B1F | 3 | Allow AWRT 2.53; ft small error |
| | <u>1</u> | | | |
| (ii) | $\beta = 20^{\overline{3}} \approx 2.714(4)$ | M1A1 | | Allow AWRT 2.71 |
| | So $\beta - r \approx 0.181 \approx 0.18$ (AG) | A1 | 3 | Allow only 2dp if earlier values to 3dp |
| | Total | | 10 | |

MFP1 (cont)

| Q | Solution | Marks | Total | Comments |
|--------|---|------------------|-------|---|
| 8(a) | $\int x^{-\frac{3}{4}} dx = 4x^{\frac{1}{4}} (+c)$ | M1A1 | | M1 if index correct |
| | This tends to ∞ as $x \to \infty$, so no value | A1F | 3 | ft wrong coefficient |
| (b) | $\int x^{-\frac{5}{4}} dx = -4x^{-\frac{1}{4}} (+c)$ $\int_{1}^{\infty} x^{-\frac{5}{4}} dx = 0 - (-4) = 4$ | M1A1 | | M1 if index correct |
| | $\int_{1}^{\infty} x^{-\frac{5}{4}} \mathrm{d}x = 0 - (-4) = 4$ | A1F | 3 | ft wrong coefficient |
| (c) | Subtracting 4 leaves ∞, so no value | B1F | 1 | ft if c has 'no value' in (a) but has a finite answer in (b) |
| | Total | | 7 | |
| 9(a) | Asymptotes are $y = \pm \sqrt{2}x$ | M1A1 | 2 | M1A0 if correct but not in required form |
| (b) | Asymptotes correct on sketch | B1F | | With gradients steeper than 1; ft from $y = \pm mx$ with $m > 1$ |
| | Two branches in roughly correct positions Approaching asymptotes correctly | B1 B1 | 3 | Asymptotes $y = \pm mx$ needed here |
| (c)(i) | Elimination of y Clearing denominator correctly $x^2 - 2cx - (c^2 + 2) = 0$ | M1 M1 m1A1 | 4 | Convincingly found (AG) |
| (ii) | Discriminant = $8c^2 + 8$ > 0 for all c , hence result | B1 E1 | 2 | Accept unsimplified OE |
| (iii) | Solving gives $x = c \pm \sqrt{2(c^2 + 1)}$ | M1A1 | | |
| | $y = x + c = 2c \pm \sqrt{2(c^2 + 1)}$ | A1 | 3 | Accept $y = c + \frac{2c \pm \sqrt{8c^2 + 8}}{2}$ |
| | Total | | 14 | |
| | TOTAL | | 75 | |